AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

HB 302 S1 2011 General Session

Reading Program Amendments

Sponsor:Rep. Merlynn T. NewboldLead Analyst:Ben LeishmanAgency Contact:Emily Eyre 28 Feb 2011Title:Research Consultant

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7671 Cell: 801-635-9666

A	Short	Form	(For bills that have no in	pact on the state, loc	al governments, bus	inesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.

There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

There is no fiscal impact on businesses

x There is no fiscal impact on individuals.

x The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 132-148, 152-191

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For m	ıultipl	le ap	propri	iations
This is		of		

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

The bill requires the State Board of Education to contract with an educational technology provider for a diagnostic assessment system for K-3 reading. The substitute removes the requirement that school districts and charter schools assess the five domains; therefore, the software licenses as well as the teacher kits will cost less. The substitute also doesn't require districts and charters to to administer assessments on kindergarten students and removes the requirement of administtering assessments to only half the students the first year. Lines 156 to 158 make the assessment system voluntary. This bill appropriates to the State Board of Education - Utah State Office of Education - Initiative Programs, as a one-time appropriation for fiscal year 2011-12 only: \$1,750,000 from the Education Fund; and to the State Board of Education - Utah State Office of Education - Initiative Programs: \$3,000,000 ongoing for FY 2012-13 and forward.

If all districts and charter schools applied to use the diagnostic assessment, the costs would be as outlined in the "Analysis" tab.

E. REVENUES Select Fund		Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
	Total	0	0	0

F. COSTS by FUN	1D				
Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011			Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013
Uniform School Fund				10,343,500	3,002,000
	m . 1	0		10.242.500	2,002,000
	Total	0	:	10,343,500	3,002,000

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.							
Expenses by Category	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013				
Personal Services		1,462,000	842,000				
Travel							
Current Expense		3,381,500	2,160,000				
DP Current Expense							
DP Capital Outlay							
Capital Outlay		5,500,000	0				
Other/Pass Thru							
Total	0	10,343,500	3,002,000				

H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect:							
Local Governments	The bill requires LEAs to purchase mobile technology devices (lines 177-178). LEAs will also have to purchase assistive technology devices for students with disabilities. The total cost to LEAs will be \$2,750,000 for the first and second year.						
Businesses							
Individuals							
2010 Version 11.09							
This is a draft fiscal note response f	from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. Attachments welcome.						

			Ye	ear 2011-12	Υ	ear 2012-13
Lines	Requirement to Implement	Assumption		Costs		Costs
	Diagnostic assessment system that meets	Software licenses @ \$15 per student; 144,000 total 1-				
152-184	requirements in the bill.	3 students.	\$	2,160,000	\$	2,160,000
	Set-up/building fees	\$750 per school, 562 Elementary schools		421,500		
132-148	Teacher Materials (assessment kits)	\$100 per teacher, 8000 k-3 teachers		800,000		
		\$1000/school (562), \$100/teacher for subs (8000);				
212-213	Provide professional development for k-3 teachers.	ongoing costs for new-hires		1,362,000		802,000
		4-6 months of initial programming plus ongoing				
185-191	Modify warehouse to produce required reports	database maintenance.		100,000		40,000
		Total USOE Costs	\$	4,843,500	\$	3,002,000
		LEA Costs				
	Purchase portable technology devices for K-3	Cost of portable technology device is approximately				
176-181	teachers.	\$500. Replaced every 3-4 years. 8000 K-3 teachers.		4,000,000		
	Provide assistive technology devices to students with	1500 K-3 students with disabilities; \$1000 per				
132-134	disabilities	student.		1,500,000		
		Total LEA Costs	\$	5,500,000	\$	-
		Total Cost By Fund				
		TOTAL COST	\$	10,343,500	\$	3,002,000
		Personal Services	\$	1,462,000	\$	842,000
		Travel				
		Current Expense	\$	3,381,500	\$	2,160,000
		DP Current Expense			·	, ,
		DP Capital Outlay				
		Capital Outlay	\$	5,500,000	Ś	_
		Other/Pass Thru	т	-,,	•	